Fluid resuscitation in burns: an update

更新燒傷的輸液復甦
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Fluid resuscitation has been a mainstay in the treatment of major burns for over 50 years. Fluids must be commenced as soon as possible prior to transfer to a specialist unit in order to minimise burn wound conversion and reduce the incidences of burn shock, post-burn renal failure, life-threatening electrolyte disturbances and mortality. Due to the lack of robust evidence, uncertainty exists regarding the type of fluid, the rate of fluid administration and method of monitoring fluid resuscitation in these patients. The advances in our understanding of burn pathology and technology may have rendered fluid resuscitation formulae, such as the Parkland, obsolete. This review aims to provide an up-to-date summary on the controversies and advances in burns fluid resuscitation to aid the emergency practitioner to make informed decisions. (Hong Kong Emerg Med. 2009;16:51-62)

輸液復甦作為嚴重燒傷的主要治療已超過50年。轉送專科單位前必須盡早開始輸液，以減低燒傷傷口的轉變及減少燒傷休克，燒傷後腎衰竭和威脅生命的電解質失調的發病率及死亡率。由於缺乏強力的證據，關於這些病人輸液的種類，輸液的速度及輸液復甦監察的方法仍未能確定。我們對燒傷病理學的理解及科技的進步，可能已使輸液復甦的公式過時，如帕克蘭公式。這章節是一個包含最新信息的摘要，旨在提供燒傷輸液復甦的爭議及發展，以幫助急症從業員作知情的決定。
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Introduction

Fluid resuscitation has been a mainstay in the treatment of major burns for over 50 years. Due to the lack of robust evidence, uncertainty exists regarding the type of fluid, the rate of fluid administration and method of monitoring fluid resuscitation in these patients. This review aims to provide an up-to-date summary on the controversies and advances in burns fluid resuscitation to aid the emergency practitioner to make informed decisions. We searched Medline using keywords related to fluid management in burns and we also back-referenced from publications.

Pathophysiology

The key to any development in burns management lies in a better understanding of burn pathology and its dynamic and reciprocal relationship with fluid management (Figure 1). Burn injuries of at least partial-thickness in depth, exceeding 15-20% total body surface area (TBSA) in the adult, and 10-15% TBSA in the child, disrupt homeostasis and lead to a complex cascade of events that culminates in a systemic inflammatory response orchestrated by the release of inflammatory mediators (Figure 2). Consequently, massive fluid shifts occur, leading to life-threatening haemodynamic and electrolyte changes. Potential sequelae include burn shock and oedema formation.
Patient outcome depends upon patient factors, the nature of the injury and treatment. Patient factors include pre-morbid physiological status and genetic make-up, both of which determine the ability to mount a compensatory stress response. The nature of the burn injury relates to the burn size, depth and presence of concomitant injuries, such as inhalation injuries, which can dramatically alter fluid requirements (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Factors that increase resuscitative fluid needs in burn patients  
- Inhalation injury  
- Delay in resuscitation  
- Crush injury  
- Electrical injury  
- Large full-thickness burn  
- Associated injuries

**Burn shock**

Prior to the introduction of fluid therapy, intravascular hypovolaemia leading to acute renal failure was the commonest cause of death in major burns. Fluid resuscitation was therefore introduced as supportive therapy to restore intravascular volume and maintain physiological parameters, including mean arterial pressure and urine output, within normal limits. The principle was to ensure adequate delivery of oxygen and nutrition to tissues, maintain end-organ perfusion and hence improve survival.

It was later discovered that fluid shifts from the intravascular to interstitial compartments occurred according to changes in Starling forces. The main changes are, firstly, an increased capillary permeability secondary to compromised microvascular endothelial integrity, and secondly, the development of a strongly negative tissue “imbibition” pressure due to
denaturation of collagen and changes to thermally injured tissues. Inflammatory mediators released also cause myocardial dysfunction and elevate systemic vascular resistance, culminating in the state of “burn shock” which places susceptible organs, including the kidneys, at risk. Therefore, without early and sufficient fluid resuscitation, acute renal failure, cardiovascular collapse and death will likely ensue.

**Burn oedema**

As far back as 1799, Earle recognised the importance of minimising oedema formation following burn injury. Burn oedema is a product of inflammation and occurs both locally in burnt tissues and systemically in non-burnt tissues. It is detrimental because it increases transport distances for oxygen, substrates and waste products, and inhibits blood perfusion (Table 2). Local oedema formation occurs immediately post-burn and oedema in non-burned tissues occurs during the first 12-24 hours and can account for up to half the fluid resuscitation volume in 50% burns.

Baxter later discovered that oedema formation in non-burned tissues, leading to distant organ dysfunction, was a result of a systemic decrease in cellular transmembrane potential. Therefore, the use of
crystalloids was introduced in an attempt to restore the transmembrane potential and prevent cell death. It follows that the extent of oedema depends on the severity of the burn injury and adequacy and type of fluid resuscitation.\textsuperscript{6} It was also observed that the extent of tissue water content correlated with hypoproteinaemia during the early resuscitation period and its persistence after restoration of normal permeability may be a result of sustained hypoproteinaemia.\textsuperscript{7}

**Table 2. Complications of burn oedema**
- Pulmonary hypertension and oedema
- Airway compromise
- Compartment syndromes, including airway, limbs and abdomen
- Escharotomy
- Hypoperfusion
- Gastrointestinal tract dysfunction
- Burn wound conversion
- Delayed wound healing
- Predisposition to infection and sepsis
- Cerebral oedema in children
- Reduced mobility

**Table 3. Fluid resuscitation formulae**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colloid formulae</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Colloid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evans</td>
<td>Normal saline 1.0 ml/kg/%TBSA</td>
<td>1.0 ml/kg/%TBSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooke</td>
<td>Lactated Ringer’s 1.5 ml/kg/%TBSA</td>
<td>0.5 ml/kg/%TBSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slater</td>
<td>Lactated Ringer’s 2 L/24 hours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Crystalloid formulae**
- Parkland
- Modified Brooke

**Hypertonic saline formulae**
- Hypertonic saline solution (Monafo)
  - Maintain UO at 30 ml/h
  - Fluid contains sodium 250 mmol/L
- Modified hypertonic (Warden)
  - Lactated Ringer’s + 50 mmol/L NaHCO\textsubscript{3} for 8 h to maintain UO at 30-50 ml/h
  - Lactated Ringer’s to maintain UO at 30-50 ml/h beginning 8 h post-burn
- Dextran formula (Demling)
  - Dextran 40 in saline – 2 ml/kg/h for 8 h
  - Lactated Ringer’s – maintain UO at 30 ml/h
  - FFP – 0.5 ml/kg/h for 18 h beginning 8 h post burn

Fluid therapy

Fluid resuscitation aims to support the patient through the initial 24-48 hour period of burn shock by:
- Re-expanding the intravascular volume,
- Delivering adequate amounts of sodium to restore cellular transmembrane potential,
- Replacing other extracellular electrolytes, thereby preventing life-threatening electrolyte disturbances that can lead to cardiac arrhythmias, and
- Correcting hypoproteinaemia and increasing colloid oncotic pressure.

The British Burns Association advocates the use of the Parkland formula although numerous other fluid resuscitation formulae are also available (Table 3). As Muir and Barclay emphasised, any fluid regime must be viewed as guidance rather than rule and fluid administration must be carefully titrated against physiological response.\textsuperscript{8}

One of the greatest challenges in fluid therapy is to achieve adequate resuscitation i.e. critical perfusion of end-organs, whilst preventing over-resuscitation which exacerbates burn oedema formation.
Therefore, accurate monitoring of resuscitation endpoints is vital. In reality, all fluid types that have been advocated are able to effectively restore tissue perfusion but there are certain situations when a particular solution may be more appropriate. The development of newer fluids and the use of different fluids during different post-burn phases have added to the challenge of discovering the optimal fluid regime for burns.

Controversies exist in the choice of fluids (Table 4), and the aggressiveness and monitoring of fluid resuscitation.

**Crystalloids**

**Lactated Ringer’s solution**

A crystalloid is an aqueous solution of crystalline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fluid</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lactated Ringer’s</td>
<td>Cheap</td>
<td>Isotonic, only 25% remains intravascularly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Safe</td>
<td>No plasma expansion properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Readily available</td>
<td>No protein replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lactate buffers metabolic acidosis</td>
<td>Contributes to burn oedema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>May require more fluid to maintain physiological parameters compared to other fluids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertonic saline/sodium</td>
<td>Plasma expansion</td>
<td>Hypernatraemia and hyperosmolar state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May decrease burn oedema</td>
<td>No protein replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Restore cellular transmembrane potential</td>
<td>Hypertonicity may dehydrate cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progressive burn wound necrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transient plasma expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (5% or 25%)</td>
<td>Naturally occurring colloid</td>
<td>Expensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strong oncotic properties</td>
<td>May increase interstitial and pulmonary oedema if given in first 8 hours post burn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replenishes protein deficiencies</td>
<td>Transmissible blood-borne diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decreases oedema</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced fluid requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh frozen plasma (FFP)</td>
<td>Naturally occurring colloid</td>
<td>Limited availability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plasma expansion</td>
<td>Transmissible blood-borne diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replenishes protein deficiencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decreases oedema</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextran and starches</td>
<td>Synthetic colloid of high molecular weight</td>
<td>Risk of allergic reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decreases oedema</td>
<td>May interfere with blood typing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plasma expansion</td>
<td>Impair coagulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced fluid requirements</td>
<td>Dextran 40 is associated with acute renal failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cheaper than albumin and FFP</td>
<td>Cannot use urine output as guide to resuscitation adequacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starches (pentastarch,</td>
<td>Synthetic colloid</td>
<td>Volume expansion effect dissipates upon discontinuation of infusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pentafract, hetastarch /</td>
<td>6% solution (hyperoncotic compared to 5% albumin)</td>
<td>Capillary &quot;sealing&quot; effect – inhibits capillary leak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hespan)</td>
<td>Low risk of allergic reaction</td>
<td>Pentafract and pentastarch have less effect on coagulation than hetastarch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved retention of colloid in intravascular space</td>
<td>No protein replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cheaper than albumin and FFP</td>
<td>May alter coagulation in large doses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
substance capable of diffusion through a semi-
permeable membrane. Crystalloids are safe,
cheap and widely available. Hartmann’s (or Lactated
Ringer’s) solution is the preferred first-line fluid
recommended by the British Burns Association. Its
composition and osmolality closely resemble normal
bodily physiological fluids and it also contains lactate
which may buffer metabolic acidosis in the early post-
burn phase. However, its isotonicity means that it leaks
through capillaries into the interstitial space and only
25% of the infused volume remains within the
intravascular compartment. Furthermore, it contains
no protein and hence exerts no oncotic pressure, which
may exacerbate oedema formation in states of severe
hypoproteinaemia as seen in burns. This has been a
concern particularly in the context of pulmonary
oedema, but various studies using the transpulmonary
double indicator dilution method (which measures
fluid accumulation within the lung) have rejected this.9,10
Furthermore, its association with oedema formation
may contribute to organ dysfunction, compartment
syndrome of the extremities, airway and abdomen, and
acute respiratory distress syndrome. Nevertheless, there
is yet to be any convincing evidence to show that
alternative fluids are better.

**Hypertonic saline**
The use of hypertonic solutions in burn resuscitation was
introduced by Monofo.11 The rationale was to increase
intravascular osmolality in order to reverse the fluid shifts,
thereby restoring haemodynamics and minimising burn
oedema formation. Demling demonstrated that smaller
amounts of fluid could be given with an equivalent
improvement in intravascular volume.12 Hypertonic
saline (HS) has been shown in one study to reduce the
risk for abdominal compartment syndrome whilst
maintaining an adequate urine output with lower
volumes of resuscitation fluid when compared to the
Parkland regime.13 Furthermore, hypertonic saline may
improve myocardial contractility, by quickly restoring
cell membrane potential, and also reduce vascular
resistance.14

Despite these advantages, routine HS use is
uncommon. The restoration of haemodynamics is
mostly transient (unless colloid is used in addition). A
prospective randomised study of patients with 20% TBSA
burns evaluating hypertonic sodium lactate
versus Lactated Ringer’s solution did not demonstrate
decreased fluid requirements.15 Furthermore, serious
adverse effects can occur with rapid infusions,
including severe hypernatraemia and hyperosmolality,
leading to renal failure, myocardial fluid overload, brain
shrinkage, seizures and intracranial vessel rupture.
Despite the lack of evidence, hypertonic crystalloid
continues to be popular in infant and elderly patients
in whom there is little risk of hypernatraemia.16,17

A study by Huang et al18 found that HS is associated
with a four-fold increase in renal failure and two-fold
increase in mortality. It has also been suggested that it
causes progressive wound necrosis.19 Recent systematic
reviews20,21 concluded that there was insufficient data to
settle the debate over whether hypertonic was better than
isotonic crystalloid for burn resuscitation. However,
Brown, in his review of 17 trials, noted that the confidence
intervals were wide which meant that clinically important
differences might exist.20 One major problem for
comparative analysis is that there is no standardisation of
hypertonic saline administration, neither in terms of fluid
composition nor rate of infusion.

**Colloids**
Colloids consist of either naturally-occurring protein
solutions, such as albumin, fresh frozen plasma and
blood, or synthetic non-protein solutions, such as
dextrans and starches. Their plasma expansion property
is thought to confer an advantage over crystalloids by
exerting an oncotic pressure across the capillary
membrane and hence reducing oedema formation.22
The protein-containing solutions are also able to
replace serum protein deficiencies.

**Albumin**
Albumin solution is the most commonly used colloid.
It is negatively-charged and therefore repelled by the
negatively-charged endothelial glycocalyx, extending
its intravascular duration. As well as being an important
serum transport protein, it scavenges free radicals and
possesses anticoagulant properties. In health, it
contributes approximately 80% of the plasma oncotic
pressure but in the critically ill, serum albumin
correlates poorly with colloid oncotic pressure. It is expensive but has a long shelf-life and there is a risk of disease transmission including prion Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. Two concentrations 4.5% and 20% from pooled donors are available. The half-life of exogenous albumin in the circulating compartment is 5-10 days, assuming an intact capillary wall membrane. In the critically ill, capillary leak limits its effectiveness, at least during the initial 8 hours post-burn. Demling showed that albumin increased intravascular volume better than Lactated Ringer’s solution and reduced oedema in uninjured tissue.22

Non-protein colloid solutions: dextran and starch resuscitation solutions
Dextrans consist of high molecular-weight glucose polysaccharides and are designed to restore intravascular oncotic pressure. Starches, such as pentafraction, are thought to possess a "sealing property" that counters capillary permeability, thereby reducing interstitial oedema, but these effects are limited, for example, Dextran 70 has an intravascular half-life of 12 hours only. In addition to plasma expansion, they may improve microcirculatory blood flow by reducing blood viscosity, platelet adhesion and coating of the endothelial surface, although their effects on coagulation may be problematic. Dextrans can also precipitate acute renal failure via tubular obstruction, interfere with cross-matching and trigger allergic and anaphylactic reactions.

Blood
Blood is an appropriate replacement fluid following severe haemorrhage and can be used to increase the haemoglobin concentration in the circulation and improve oxygen carriage. Transfusion expands the intravascular compartment with little or no increase in interstitial fluid but is potentially dangerous with risks of disseminated intravascular coagulopathy, severe renal injury and disease transmission. Furthermore, it is in short supply, expensive, antigenic and requires cross-matching and storage facilities.

Haemoglobin-based blood substitutes
More recently, haemoglobin-based blood substitutes, such as disaspirin cross-linked haemoglobin, have been developed. They are infusible, oxygen-carrying fluids that have long shelf-lives and no need for refrigeration or cross-matching. Polymerisation of haemoglobin improves intravascular persistence with a half-life of 24 hours. They display similar oxygen-dissociation curves to blood and are free from disease transmission. Polymerised bovine haemoglobin, HBOC-201, has been well-tolerated in clinical trials and has been licensed for clinical use in South Africa. These fluids can be life-saving in Jehovah’s witnesses. However, recent data have shown a significantly increased risk of death and myocardial infarction without clinical benefit.23

Crystalloids versus colloids
The crystalloid vs. colloid debate represents one of the longest-standing controversies in burns fluid management and a thorough account is beyond the scope of this article. However, several recent reviews have revived this debate and are worth mentioning.

Although colloids remain in the circulation longer than crystalloids, impaired capillary membrane integrity during the early phase (within 8 hours) of burn injury leads to mass extravasation of plasma proteins, including albumin. This means that iatrogenic replacement of proteins during this period of hypoproteinaemia is futile and may even exacerbate burn shock and oedema by increasing interstitial oncotic pressure. Treatment of hypoalbuminaemia with albumin has not been shown to alter the outcome in the critically ill. Smaller molecular weight colloids including albumin may not be useful but there may be a role for larger molecular weight hydroxyethyl starches.

Non-burned tissues appear to recover their membrane integrity between 8 and 24 hours post-burn depending on the adequacy of fluid resuscitation and level of systemic response. Therefore, delayed colloid infusions, if necessary, may be commenced at 8 hours post-burn, although the exact timing remains controversial. Many investigators advocate the use of colloids when large total fluid volumes are anticipated such as in inhalation injuries or large burns, children and the elderly, or those demonstrating refractory burn shock.22
While various trials and systematic reviews, including two Cochrane reviews, have found no evidence that colloids or albumin are associated with an improvement in the survival of critically ill patients, including burn victims,24-25 some have reported an increased mortality associated with the use of albumin. Unfortunately, these reviews have been fraught with methodological errors and limitations with the use of different end-points and physiological protocols for fluid administration, circulatory and respiratory treatment. Furthermore, there was inappropriate grouping of study treatments. Nevertheless, this does not preclude the possibility that there exists highly-select sub-groups of critically ill patients in whom colloids may be beneficial. Despite the lack of robust outcome data, albumin remains the mainstay of colloid therapy in some paediatric intensive care units.

**Aggressiveness of resuscitation**

Since the introduction of the Parkland formula, there has been much evidence to show that fluid volumes infused are far removed from the Parkland estimates. Recent buzz-terms include "fluid creep", "permissive hypovolaemia" and "hyperdynamic resuscitation". These have arisen as a result of studies with apparently contradictory results.

Careless fluid resuscitation can lead to life-threatening complications. Under-resuscitation leads to hypovolaemic shock, acute renal failure, wound conversion, end-organ failure and sepsis, all of which are associated with a high mortality rate. More recently, however, attention has shifted to the complications of over-resuscitation (Table 2 and Figure 3).

**Fluid creep**

'Fluid creep' is a term coined by Pruitt which describes the trend of providing fluids in excess of the estimated volumes using fluid formulae.26 This may in part be explained by the increasingly routine use of invasive monitoring and has been thought to be associated with major complications, including compartment syndromes (which may necessitate early tracheal intubation, fasciotomy and abdominal decompression when the airway, distal extremities and abdomen are involved respectively), pulmonary oedema, prolonged mechanical ventilation, and graft failure.27-29

One recent multicentre study has demonstrated that large volumes of resuscitation fluid are associated with increased risk of infectious complications, acute respiratory distress syndrome and death.27 However, how well the statistical models adjust for the injury and patient characteristics that confound the relationship between fluid resuscitation and outcome is unclear. It is particularly important to achieve and maintain this dynamic resuscitation balance in burn victims with limited physiological reserves, such as the elderly, children and those with significant comorbidities or concomitant injuries.

**Permissive hypovolaemia**

Recognition of these complications of over-resuscitaiton led to the concept of "permissive hypovolaemia".30 Lund advocated avoiding excessive fluid administration during the early post-burn phase to minimise burn oedema.31 The success of a current "low volume" resuscitation regime was demonstrated by the presence of early post-burn acute renal failure in only 2 of >2100 burn patients in a tertiary care burn centre.29 Between 1994-2004, dialysis was required for either early or late onset acute renal failure in only 10 of 3266 burn patients (0.3%) treated at the U.S. Army Burn Centre.

**Hyperdynamic resuscitation**

By contrast, various studies using invasive monitoring have suggested that the Parkland formula underestimates fluid requirements,10 that significant additional volumes were in fact necessary to meet physiological end-points9,10 and that deliberate and controlled over-resuscitation, including the use of vasopressors, led to improved survival and a reduced incidence of end-organ damage.32 The aim is to achieve a supra-normal cardiac index so that the increase in oxygen delivery to the tissues overcomes the impaired oxygen uptake that occurs after burn injury.

Shoemaker et al demonstrated that patients resuscitated to "hyperdynamic endpoints", i.e. supranormal cardiac index, oxygen delivery index (DO₂I) and oxygen consumption index (VO₂I), had decreased mortality, intensive care bed stay and ventilator days when compared to patients who were resuscitated to normal haemodynamic values.33 Failure to reach the set
hyperdynamic endpoints was thought to be a marker of non-survival. Recent studies by Fleming et al provided similar results and in addition, demonstrated a reduced incidence of organ failure. However, two prospective randomised trials were unable to demonstrate a survival benefit or difference in the incidence of organ failure or intensive care unit days. Moreover, opponents to hyperdynamic resuscitation remain concerned about the aforementioned complications of over-resuscitation.

**Monitoring resuscitation**

Monitoring of burn shock resuscitation is essential for the assessment of the effects and optimisation of fluid therapy. There are growing concerns over the reliability of traditional physiological parameters, both non-invasive and invasive, to assess systemic and end-organ perfusion. This has in part been responsible for the debate over the aggressiveness of fluid administration. All current resuscitation formulae are based on achieving a certain urine output target but do not take into account other important variables including burn depth, pre-morbid physiological status and presence of concomitant injuries (Table 1).

**Traditional methods**

The level of monitoring depends upon the individual patient, with the sicker burn victim warranting more invasive monitoring. Various studies have rejected the traditional non-invasive parameters (Table 5) including vital signs and urine output as adequate markers of volume status or organ perfusion. For example, blood pressure may be maintained until 30-40% depletion of circulatory volume and tachycardia may be secondary to hypovolaemia but also catecholamine release. Although urine output may be a poor indirect indicator of renal perfusion, various groups have

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5. Traditional criteria for adequate fluid resuscitation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood lactate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base deficit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric intramucosal pH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central venous pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac index (CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen delivery index (DO₂J)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
nonetheless developed closed-loop resuscitation systems to titrate fluid therapy to a target urine output using a digital urinary output monitor and intravenous pump. Doubts have also been cast over the reliability of invasive monitoring techniques including central venous pressure, pulmonary artery wedge pressure and right ventricular end-diastolic volume. They reflect metabolic state and may be used as markers of tissue perfusion and regularly monitored with continuous intra-arterial blood gas monitoring.

Promising recent advances include power Doppler ultrasound and intrathoracic blood volume (ITBV). Power Doppler ultrasound is non-invasive and has been shown to be a superior measure of renal cortical microvascular perfusion than urine output in the animal model. ITBV requires only a central venous line and an arterial fibre-optic thermistor catheter inserted into the femoral artery and measures the combined volume of left heart, right heart and pulmonary blood volumes at end-diastole. It has been put forward as a clinically useful indicator of overall

### Newer methods

There is much interest in developing accurate endpoints of resuscitation to allow direct measurement of the circulatory volume status and end-organ perfusion with immediate feedback to enable accurate titration (Table 6). Simple laboratory tests such as base deficit and serum lactate have received renewed interest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>End-point</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base deficit</td>
<td>Measurement of acid-base balance</td>
<td>Arterial blood gas</td>
<td>Non-specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Predicts morbidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not proven to alter outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum lactate</td>
<td>Product of tissue hypoperfusion and anaerobic respiration</td>
<td>Central blood sample analysed within 15 min</td>
<td>Not available in all centres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric tonometry</td>
<td>Measurement of gut mucosal pCO₂ and pH</td>
<td>Nasogastric tube with air- or saline-filled CO₂ permeable balloon and blood gas analyser or capnometer</td>
<td>Indirect measurement of gut mucosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Long equilibration with saline balloons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No enteral feedings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No consensus on method or parameters to use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrathoracic blood volume</td>
<td>Right heart, left heart and pulmonary end-diastole volumes as indicator of circulatory status</td>
<td>Central venous line and thermistor-tipped fibreoptic arterial catheter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans- and subcutaneous tissue oxygenation</td>
<td>Measurement of tissue O₂, pH, pCO₂ or SaO₂</td>
<td>Electrodes or optodes applied to skin surface or subcutaneous tissue</td>
<td>Still a research tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Subject to environmental interferences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Burn wounds, dressings and oedema may limit use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical tissue oxygenation</td>
<td>Measurement of tissue O₂, pH, pCO₂, SaO₂, microcirculation imaging and active compounds by emitted light</td>
<td>Near-infrared spectroscopy, orthogonal polarization spectral imaging, magnetic resonance spectroscopy or optodes</td>
<td>Still a research tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No quantitative reference values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Costly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not easily accessible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cardiac preload. It may be particularly useful in the complex setting of the critically ill, burn patient with cardiac dysfunction and a requirement for mechanical ventilation and has been the basis of Shoemaker’s studies on hyperdynamic resuscitation. Other methods included in Table 6 are still primarily research tools. The advent of a reliable resuscitation end-point will greatly aid the design of future trials.

Conclusions

Fluid resuscitation in burns should be commenced by the emergency practitioner prior to transfer to a specialist unit. In the acute setting, prior to the availability of more invasive monitoring (if warranted), the Parkland formula remains a useful tool. One must, initially at least, rely on traditional non-invasive physiological parameters to guide fluid therapy.

Ultimately, all fluids advocated are effective in restoring circulatory volume in the first 12-24 hours following burn injury for most patients. However, one should consider the use of alternative solutions and fluid regimes in those with severe and concomitant injuries, limited physiological reserve (children and the elderly) and those who are refractory to initial fluid resuscitation attempts. Identification of these patients will become a vital part of modern burns management.

One of the greatest impediments to the advance of burn resuscitation is the lack of a robust evidence base. This is reflected in the conflicting views and variations in burns fluid resuscitation practice seen across the UK, Europe and USA. Methods to identify subgroups of burns patients and the use of the same end-points and physiological protocols will aid in the comparison of treatment modalities. The current development of the National Burn Injury Database is an encouraging step forward.

In summary, the challenges that face the 21st century multidisciplinary burn team are to:

1. Achieve specific inflammatory mediator attenuation to minimise burn shock and oedema formation;
2. Discover the optimal fluid, or combination of fluids, that enables adequate tissue perfusion but that also reduces burn oedema and restores electrolyte balance and proteins;
3. Discover a reliable resuscitation end-point that is tailored to the patient, and
4. Obtain a robust evidence base to allow evaluation and comparison of outcomes.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Mr. Michael Tyler, Consultant Plastic & Burn Surgeon, and Ms Gail Miller, Burns Sister, at Stoke Mandeville Hospital for their helpful comments and insight.

References